

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,377	05/03/2001	Gregory Prince	469201-540	8081	
759	90 06/30/2003				
CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, GILFILLAN,			EXAMINER		
6 Becker Farm F			FOLEY, SHANON A		
Roseland, NJ 0	7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1648		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003		
				フ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•		•	Application No.			
Office Action Surrename		Office Action Summer:	09/848,377		PRINCE ET AL.	
		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
			Shanon Foley	1648		
Period		- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover	sneet with the correspondence address		
TH - 6 - 11 - 11 - F - A	HE NExtense after Solf the plant of the plan	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe ly within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	iver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.	
tatus		5				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>				
2a)[, —	nis action is non-fir			
3)[Ш	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			3 IS	
ispo	sitio	on of Claims				
4)[\boxtimes	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	n.			
	4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	ation.		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·		
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
-		Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requireme	ent.		
_		on Papers				
		The specification is objected to by the Examine		to building Fundations		
וט)נ	' '	the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		•		
11)[-1 т	Applicant may not request that any objection to the proposed drawing correction filed on		. ,		
,		If approved, corrected drawings are required in re				
12)[T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•			
		nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
_	_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
-		All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•			
	-	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been recei	ived.		
	:	2. Certified copies of the priority document				
		3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	ireau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		
	_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list			·*:~-\	
ı <i>⇔)</i> ∟		Cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		1110(1)	
15)[The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest				
tachm			, ., .,			
N 🔲	lotice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	. •	

Application/Control Number: 09/848,377

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-21, drawn to an antimicrobial composition comprising at least one neutralizing antibody and at least one anti-inflammatory agent, classified in class
 530, subclass 387.1 and class 514, subclass 165.
- II. Claims 22-24, drawn to a method of treating respiratory disease, classified in class424, subclass 159.1 and 184.1.
- III. Claims 25-27 drawn to a method of protecting against respiratory disease in a patient, classified in class 424, subclass 159.1 and 184.1.

After applicant elects one of groups I-III, applicant must further elect one or more antibodies A-D:

- A) Anti-viral
- B) Anti-fungal
- C) Anti-bacterial
- D) Anti-parasitic

After applicant has elected one of groups I-III and has elected one or more antibodies, A-D, applicant is further required to elect one or more anti-inflammatory agents, i-iii:

- i) an antibody
- ii) a steroid
- iii) pain-killers

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/848,377

Art Unit: 1648

Inventions A-D are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are different classes of antibodies that are structurally and functionally distinct because they are capable of specifically binding to unrelated microorganisms. Therefore, each of the antibodies of groups A-D have different functions and have different effects upon different pathogens.

Inventions i-iii are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to compositions that are completely different structurally. Each of the anti-inflammatory agents work by different pathways that are functionally distinct. Therefore, each of the agents i-iii have different modes of operation and have different functions.

Inventions I and II, III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of group I can be used in materially different processes of groups II or III. Further, each of groups II or III can be practiced with any combination of products in groups A-D and i-iii.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Application/Control Number: 09/848,377

Art Unit: 1648

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different methods involving completely different populations of subjects. In the method of group II, the population has already been exposed to respiratory disease and is exhibiting symptoms, while the population of group III is free of any respiratory disease pathology. Therefore, each method has different effects on different populations of hosts.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shanon Foley whose telephone number is (703) 308-3983. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (703) 308-4027. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/848,377 Page 5

Art Unit: 1648

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4426 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Shanon Foley
June 28 2003